arXiv:1205.1277v1 [cs.IT] 7 May 2012

MACWILLIAMS IDENTITIES FOR m-TUPLE WEIGHT ENUMERATORS

NATHAN KAPLAN

Abstract. Since MacWilliams proved the original identity relating the Hamming weight enumerator of a linear code to the weight enumerator of its dual code there have been many different generalizations, leading to the development of m-tuple support enumerators. We prove a generalization of a theorem of Britz, which builds on earlier work of Kløve, Shiromoto, and others. We then give illustrations of this new weight enumerator.

1. Introduction

In a 1963 article [8], MacWilliams gave an identity relating the weight enumerator of a linear code to the weight enumerator of its dual code. Several authors have generalized this work in a few different directions. One type of generalization leads to weight enumerators in more than two variables, such as the Lee and complete weight enumerators, and to weight enumerators for codes defined over alphabets other than $\mathbb{F}_q$. Another type of generalization considered by several authors is to adapt the notion of weight to consider more than one codeword at a time. This leads to the generalized Hamming weights of Wei [14], and to the MacWilliams type results for m-tuple support enumerators of Kløve [7], Shiromoto [11], and Simonis [12]. Barg [1], and later Britz [2], generalized these results and gave matroid-theoretic proofs. Britz [3] also recently described new and broad connections between weight enumerators and Tutte polynomials of matroids.

We prove a MacWilliams type result which implies the two main theorems of Britz [2], which in turn imply the earlier results of Kløve [7], Shiromoto [11], and Barg [1]. While these earlier results mostly concern m-tuple generalizations of the MacWilliams theorem for Hamming weight enumerators, we give the corresponding m-tuple generalization of the MacWilliams theorem for complete weight enumerators. Secondly, where the earlier results concern the analog of the weight for m-tuples of codewords drawn from the same linear code C, our result applies for m-tuples of vectors, one each chosen from linear codes of length N, $C_1, \ldots, C_m$ that need not be the same.

In the last part of this paper we mention some of the ways in which m-tuple support enumerators are used in the theory of linear codes and give some applications.

2. Statement of Results

We first give the necessary definitions to state MacWilliams' original theorem [8]. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field of q elements, N a nonnegative integer, and $C \subseteq k^N$ a

Date: March 3, 2013.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 94B05.
Key words and phrases. MacWilliams Theorem, Linear Code, Weight Enumerator.

linear code. Let $|C|$ denote the number of codewords of C, and let $\langle a, b\rangle$ denote the usual inner product of two elements of k^N. The *Hamming weight* of any $f \in k^N$, denoted $\mathrm{wt}(f)$, is the number of nonzero coordinates of f. We define the *Hamming weight enumerator* of C,

$$\mathrm{W}_C(X, Y) = \sum_{c \in C} X^{N-\mathrm{wt}(c)} Y^{\mathrm{wt}(c)},$$

a homogeneous polynomial of degree N.

Theorem 1 (MacWilliams)**.** *Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^N$ be a linear code and let $C^\perp$ be its dual code. Then*

$$\mathrm{W}_{C^\perp}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{|C|}\mathrm{W}_C(X + (q-1)Y, X - Y).$$

Many authors have considered not only the weights of individual codewords, but weights coming from m-tuples of codewords. We give some terminology from [12]. We will usually denote codewords with superscripts when we are considering more than one since we will use subscripts to denote the coordinates of a codeword.

Let $[N]$ denote $\{1, \dots, N\}$. For $v = (v_1, \dots, v_N) \in k^N$, we define the *support* of v by $S(v) = \{e \in [N] \mid v_e \neq 0\}$. Note that $\mathrm{wt}(v) = |S(v)|$. If we consider a codeword c as a $1 \times N$ row vector then $\mathrm{wt}(c)$ is the number of nonzero columns of this matrix. We define the *weight*, sometimes called the *effective length*, of an m-tuple of vectors $(v^1, \dots, v^m) \in (k^N)^m$ as the number of nonzero columns of the $m \times N$ matrix with rows $v^1, \dots, v^m$. This is the size of the union of the supports of $v^1, \dots, v^m$. For such an m-tuple $(v^1, \dots, v^m)$ we define its *support*, $S(v^1, \dots, v^m) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m S(v^i)$. For a subspace V of k^N we define its support as $S(V) = \bigcup_{v \in V} S(v)$. Note that $S(V)$ is the union of the supports of any set of vectors generating V. We define the *weight* of V as the size of this support.

We now give one of the main theorems of [2]. For consistency we state this as an identity involving homogeneous polynomials, which is different from, but equivalent to, the original presentation. For $E \subseteq [N]$, let $A_E^{[m]}$ denote the number of ordered m-tuples of codewords in C whose support is E. We also define $2N$ variables $X_1, \dots, X_N, Y_1, \dots, Y_N$ which indicate whether a certain position is in the support of a given m-tuple of codewords. We define the *m-tuple support enumerator* of a linear code C of length N as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{SE}_C^{[m]}(X_1, \dots, X_N, Y_1, \dots, Y_N) &= \sum_{E \subseteq [N]} A_E^{[m]} \prod_{i \in E} X_i \prod_{j \in [N] \setminus E} Y_j \\ &= \sum_{(c^1, \dots, c^m) \in C^m} H(c^1, \dots, c^m),\end{aligned}$$

where $H(c^1, \dots, c^m) = \prod_{P=1}^N H_P(c^1, \dots, c^m)$, and

$$H_P(c^1, \dots, c^m) = \begin{cases} X_P \text{ if } (c_P^1, \dots, c_P^m) = (0, \dots, 0) \\ Y_P \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Theorem 2 (Britz)**.** *Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^N$ be a linear code and let $C^\perp$ be its dual code. Then*

$$\mathrm{SE}_{C^\perp}^{[m]}(X_1, \dots, X_N, Y_1, \dots Y_N) =$$
$$\frac{1}{|C|^m}\mathrm{SE}_C^{[m]}(X_1 + (q^m - 1)Y_1, \dots, X_N + (q^m - 1)Y_N, X_1 - Y_1, \dots, X_N - Y_N).$$

In this theorem the supports of m-tuples of codewords of C are related to the supports of m-tuples of codewords of $C^\perp$. This support enumerator keeps track of the supports, not just their sizes. However, given an m-tuple of codewords $c^1, \ldots, c^m$ written as an $m \times N$ matrix, this weight enumerator tells us only about the positions of the nonzero columns, not what these columns are.

We next define the complete weight enumerator of a linear code C. Let $z_0 = 0, z_1, \ldots, z_{q-1}$ index the elements of $\mathbb{F}_q$. The complete weight enumerator of a code $C \subseteq k^N$ is a homogeneous polynomial in q variables, $X_{z_0}, X_{z_1}, \ldots, X_{z_{q-1}}$, one for each of the q elements of k. For $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_N) \in k^N$, we define $F(c) = \prod_{i=0}^{q-1} X_{z_i}^{a_i(c)}$, where $a_i(c)$ is the number of $j \in [N]$ such that $c_j = z_i$. The *complete weight enumerator* of C is

$$\mathrm{CW}_C(X_{z_0}, \ldots, X_{z_{q-1}}) = \sum_{c \in C} F(c).$$

We also define the m-tuple complete weight enumerator of $C_1, \ldots, C_m$. Suppose $c^i \in C_i$ for $1 \le i \le m$. For any m-tuple $(c^1, \ldots, c^m)$, we consider the $m \times N$ matrix with rows $c^1, \ldots, c^m$. We define q^m variables, $X_{(z_0,z_0,\ldots,z_0)}, X_{(z_0,\ldots,z_0,z_1)}, \ldots,$ $X_{(z_{q-1},z_{q-1},\ldots,z_{q-1})}$, one for element of k^m. When we have one variable for each possible m-tuple we always order the variables lexicographically.

Let $a_{(i_1,\ldots,i_m)}(c^1, \ldots, c^m)$ be the number of columns of this matrix equal to $(z_{i_1}, \ldots, z_{i_m})^\intercal$. We are not concerned with the positions of the columns equal to a fixed m-tuple, only the number of such columns. Now let

$$F(c^1, \ldots, c^m) = \prod_{0 \le i_1, \ldots, i_m < q} X_{(z_{i_1}, \ldots, z_{i_m})}^{a_{(i_1,\ldots,i_m)}(c^1,\ldots,c^m)}.$$

We now define the *m-tuple complete weight enumerator* as

$$\mathrm{CW}^{[m]}_{C_1,\ldots,C_m}(X_{(z_0,\ldots,z_0)}, \ldots, X_{(z_{q-1},\ldots,z_{q-1})}) = \sum_{c^1 \in C_1} \cdots \sum_{c^m \in C_m} F(c^1, \ldots, c^m).$$

We also define a support analog of the m-tuple complete weight enumerator of linear codes $C_1, \ldots, C_m$. The idea is to consider all possible m-tuples of codewords and to keep track of which of the q^m possible column vectors occurs in each of the N positions. This is a homogeneous polynomial in Nq^m variables $X_{P,(z_{i_1},\ldots,z_{i_m})}$ where $1 \le P \le N$ and $(z_{i_1}, \ldots, z_{i_m}) \in k^m$.

Suppose $c^i \in C_i$ for $1 \le i \le m$ with $c^i = (c^i_1, \ldots, c^i_N)$. For any m-tuple $(c^1, \ldots, c^m)$, consider the $m \times N$ matrix with rows $c^1, \ldots, c^m$. Let

$$G(c^1, \ldots, c^m) = \prod_{P=1}^{N} G_P(c^1_P, \ldots, c^m_P),$$

where $G_P(c^1, \ldots, c^m) = G_P(c^1_P, \ldots, c^m_P) = X_{P,(c^1_P,\ldots,c^m_P)}$.

We now define the *m-tuple exact weight enumerator* of $C_1, \ldots, C_m$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{EW}^{[m]}_{C_1,\ldots,C_m}(X_{1,(z_0,\ldots,z_0)}, \ldots, X_{1,(z_{q-1},\ldots,z_{q-1})}, \ldots, X_{N,(z_0,\ldots,z_0)}, \ldots, X_{N,(z_{q-1},\ldots,z_{q-1})})\\ &= \sum_{c^1 \in C_1} \cdots \sum_{c^m \in C_m} G(c^1, \ldots, c^m).\end{aligned}$$

For $m = 1$ this weight enumerator coincides with the exact weight enumerator in the book of MacWilliams and Sloane [9]. We note that the m-tuple exact weight enumerator contains strictly more information than the m-tuple complete weight

enumerator since it keeps track not only of how many times each of the q^m possible columns occurs, but also in what positions they occur. It is clear that this weight enumerator completely specifies the words of each code $C_1, \dots, C_m$.

We aim to prove the following generalizations of Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. *Let $C_1, \dots, C_m$ be linear codes of length N, with dual codes $C_1^\perp, \dots, C_m^\perp$, and let ψ be a non-trivial character on $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then*

$$\mathrm{EW}^{[m]}_{C_1^\perp,\dots,C_m^\perp}(X_{1,(z_0,\dots,z_0)},\dots,X_{1,(z_{q-1},\dots,z_{q-1})},\dots,X_{N,(z_0,\dots,z_0)},\dots,X_{N,(z_{q-1},\dots,z_{q-1})}) =$$
$$\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^m |C_i|}\mathrm{EW}^{[m]}_{C_1,\dots,C_m}(Y_{1,(z_0,\dots,z_0)},\dots,Y_{1,(z_{q-1},\dots,z_{q-1})},\dots,Y_{N,(z_0,\dots,z_0)},\dots,Y_{N,(z_{q-1},\dots,z_{q-1})}),$$

where if $(\alpha^1,\dots,\alpha^m) \in (k^N)^m$ and $\alpha_P = (\alpha_P^1,\dots,\alpha_P^m)$ then,

$$Y_{P,(\alpha_P^1,\dots,\alpha_P^m)} = \sum_{\beta=(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m)\in k^m} \psi(\langle \alpha_P, \beta\rangle) X_{P,(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m)}.$$

The generalization for m-tuple complete weight enumerators follows easily from this.

Theorem 4. *Let $C_1, \dots, C_m$ be linear codes of length N, with dual codes $C_1^\perp, \dots, C_m^\perp$, and let ψ be a non-trivial character on $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then*

$$\mathrm{CW}^{[m]}_{C_1^\perp,\dots,C_m^\perp}(X_{(z_0,\dots,z_0)},\dots,X_{(z_{q-1},\dots,z_{q-1})}) =$$
$$\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^m |C_i|}\mathrm{CW}^{[m]}_{C_1,\dots,C_m}(Y_{(z_0,\dots,z_0)},\dots,Y_{(z_{q-1},\dots,z_{q-1})}),$$

where for $\alpha = (\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_m) \in k^m$,

$$Y_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_m)} = \sum_{\beta=(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m)\in k^m} \psi(\langle \alpha, \beta\rangle) X_{(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m)}.$$

We use this result to prove the following analog for m-tuple support enumerators.

Theorem 5. *Let $C_1, \dots, C_m$ be linear codes of length N, with dual codes $C_1^\perp, \dots, C_m^\perp$. Then*

$$\mathrm{W}^{[m]}_{C_1^\perp,\dots,C_m^\perp}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^m |C_i|}\mathrm{W}^{[m]}_{C_1,\dots,C_m}(X+(q^m-1)Y, X-Y).$$

This result is new since it allows one to compare the effective length of m-tuples of vectors drawn from different linear codes of the same length, and gives a generalization of an earlier result of Shiromoto [11] concerning the effective lengths of m-tuples of vectors from the same linear code C.

In the final part of the paper we discuss extensions to r-th support weight enumerators. Wei [14] first considered the r-th generalized Hamming Weight $d_r(C)$, which is the smallest effective length of an r-tuple of codewords of C which generate an r-dimensional subcode of C. Kløve [7] was the first to prove MacWilliams type relations for these effective length distributions. We first define the r-th support weight distribution $\{A_i^{(r)} \mid i \geq 0\}$ of C where $A_i^{(r)}$ is the number of r-dimensional subspaces of C that have support of size exactly i.

We can now define the *r-th support weight enumerator* of a linear code C,

$$\mathrm{W}_C^{(r)}(X,Y) = \sum_{i=0}^N A_i^{(r)} X^{N-i} Y^i.$$

Britz [2] gave a generalization of this weight enumerator which considers not only the dimension of the subcode but also which of the coordinates in $[N]$ lie in the support of the subcode. We consider an analog of this r-th support weight enumerator for linear codes of length N, $C_1, \ldots, C_m$, not necessarily equal, and see that things do not carry over so neatly in this setting. We discuss this issue and give some applications of our results.

We can express m-tuples of elements of $\mathbb{F}_q^N$ as the rows of an $m \times N$ matrix. A column of this matrix gives an m-tuple $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) \in k^m$. If we choose a basis for $\mathbb{F}_q^m$, we can think of this m-tuple as an element of $\mathbb{F}_q^m$. The resulting code over $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ is no longer linear since it is not closed under scalar multiplication by elements of $\{\mathbb{F}_q^m \setminus \mathbb{F}_q\}$, but it is $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear. Codes of this type are often called *additive* codes. We can then think of Theorem 5 as a kind of MacWilliams theorem for additive codes over $\mathbb{F}_q^m$. We will not pursue this interpretation further in this paper, but it may be useful in future work.

3. The Proof of Theorem 3

We prove Theorem 3 on m-tuple exact weight enumerators using an argument similar in spirit to one of the original proofs of the MacWilliams identity [8]. Similar ideas have been used by Britz and others [2, 5]. We apply discrete Poisson summation and a simple lemma on characters.

Lemma 6 (Discrete Poisson summation)**.** *Let G be a finite abelian group, $H \subset G$ a subgroup, $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ the character group of G, and $H^* = \{\hat{g} \in \widehat{G} \mid \forall h \in H,\ \widehat{g}(h) = 1\}$ the annihilator of H in $\widehat{G}$. For any function ϕ on G define the Fourier transform of ϕ to be the function on $\widehat{G}$*

$$\hat{\phi}(\hat{g}) = \sum_{g \in G} \hat{g}(g)\phi(g).$$

Then

$$[G : H] \sum_{h \in H} \phi(h) = \sum_{h^* \in H^*} \hat{\phi}(h^*).$$

See Chapter 12 of [13] for a proof.

In this higher weight enumerator case we let $G = (k^N)^m$ and let ψ be a nontrivial character on $\mathbb{F}_q$. We identify $\widehat{G}$ and G by identifying the element $g = (g^1, \ldots, g^m) \in (k^N)^m$ with the character that takes $h = (h^1, \ldots, h^m) \in (k^N)^m$ to $\psi(\langle h, g \rangle)$. Now let $C_1, \ldots, C_m$ be linear codes of length N. Consider the subgroup of G^m which consists of elements of the form $(c^1, \ldots, c^m)$ where $c^i \in C_i$. This subgroup has index $q^{Nm} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m |C_i|\right)^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^m |C_i^\perp|$.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let

$$\phi(c^1, \ldots, c^m) = G(c^1, \ldots, c^m) = \prod_{P=1}^{N} G_P(c^1, \ldots, c^m),$$

where the function G_P is defined in the previous section. Now we see that

$$\hat{\phi}(\hat{g}) = \sum_{g \in (k^N)^m} \psi(\langle g, \hat{g} \rangle)\phi(g).$$

Summing over all $(c^1, \dots, c^m)$ with $c^i \in C_i$ gives

$$\sum_{c^1 \in C_1, \dots, c^m \in C_m} \phi(c^1, \dots, c^m) = \mathrm{EW}^{[m]}_{C_1,\dots,C_m}(X_{1,(z_0,\dots,z_0)}, \dots, X_{N,(z_{q-1},\dots,z_{q-1})}).$$

Discrete Poisson summation implies that this is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^m |C_i^\perp|} \sum_{d^1 \in C_1^\perp, \dots, d^m \in C_m^\perp} \hat{\phi}(d^1, \dots, d^m).$$

We now consider the coordinates of $\hat{\phi}(d^1, \dots, d^m)$ one at a time. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}(d^1, \dots, d^m) &= \sum_{(g^1,\dots,g^m) \in (k^N)^m} \prod_{i=1}^m \psi(\langle d^i, g^i \rangle) \phi(g^1, \dots, g^m) \\ &= \sum_{(g^1,\dots,g^m) \in (k^N)^m} \prod_{i=1}^m \psi(\langle d^i, g^i \rangle) \prod_{P=1}^N G_P(g_P^1, \dots, g_P^m), \end{aligned}$$

where $g^i = (g_1^i, \dots, g_n^i)$.

We can switch the order of the sum and product and still account for every $(g^1, \dots, g^m) \in (k^N)^m$ exactly once. This sum is equal to

$$= \prod_{P=1}^N \sum_{(g_P^1,\dots,g_P^m) \in k^m} \prod_{i=1}^m \psi(d_P^i, g_P^i) G_P(g_P^1, \dots, g_P^m).$$

Let $g_P = (g_P^1, \dots, g_P^m)$ and $d_P = (d_P^1, \dots, d_P^m)$. We can rewrite the previous sum as

$$= \prod_{P=1}^N \sum_{g_P \in k^m} \psi(\langle d_P, g_P \rangle) X_{P,(g_P^1,\dots,g_P^m)},$$

which completes the proof. □

4. Applications of Theorem 3 to Other Weight Enumerators

In this section we deduce Theorem 4 and then Theorem 2 from Theorem 3, and then deduce Theorem 5 from Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. For all $1 \le P \le N$ and all $(i_1, \dots, i_m)$ with $0 \le i_1, \dots, i_m < q$, set $X_{P,(z_{i_1},\dots,z_{i_m})} = X_{(z_{i_1},\dots,z_{i_m})}$. By definition we see that for any fixed $(i_1, \dots, i_m)$ the $Y_{P,(z_{i_1},\dots,z_{i_m})}$ for $1 \le P \le N$ are all equal. We also see that for all $1 \le P \le N$ we have $G_P(c^1, \dots, c^m) = X_{(c_P^1,\dots,c_P^m)}$. Therefore

$$G(c^1, \dots, c^m) = \prod_{0 \le i_1,\dots,i_m < q} X_{(z_{i_1},\dots,z_{i_m})}^{a_{(i_1,\dots,i_m)}(c^1,\dots,c^m)}.$$

Summing over all possible m-tuples with $c^1 \in C_1, \dots, c^m \in C_m$ gives $\mathrm{CW}^{[m]}_{C_1,\dots,C_m}(X_{(z_0,\dots,z_0)}, \dots, X_{(z_{q-1},\dots,z_{q-1})})$, so the left-hand sides of the identities in the two theorems are equal. The observation that $Y_{P,(z_{i_1},\dots,z_{i_m})} = Y_{(z_{i_1},\dots,z_{i_m})}$ for all P completes the proof. □

We first recall a useful lemma on sums of characters.

Lemma 7. *Suppose* $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) \in k^m \setminus (0, \ldots, 0)$. *Then*

$$\sum_{\beta=(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_m)\in k^m\setminus(0,\ldots,0)} \psi(\langle \alpha, \beta\rangle) = -1.$$

Proof. The map $\beta \to \psi(\langle \alpha, \beta\rangle)$ is a character on the finite additive group k^m. Therefore, the sum of this character over all β vanishes unless it is the trivial character, which is the case if and only if $\alpha = (0, \ldots, 0)$. We see that

$$\sum_{\beta=(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_m)\in k^m\setminus(0,\ldots,0)} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \psi(\alpha_i\beta_i) = 0 - \prod_{i=1}^{m} \psi(0) = -1.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, $C_i = C_1$. For convenience we write $C := C_1$. For each $1 \leq P \leq n$ set $X_{P,(0,\ldots,0)} = X_P$ and for all other m-tuples, set $X_{P,(i_1,\ldots,i_m)} = Y_P$.

First consider

$$Y_{P,(z_0,\ldots,z_0)} = \sum_{\beta=(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_m)\in k^m} X_{P,(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_m)}.$$

This is equal to $X_P + (q^m - 1)Y_P$.

Suppose $\alpha_P = (\alpha_P^1, \ldots, \alpha_P^m) \neq (0, \ldots, 0)$ and consider

$$Y_{P,(\alpha_P^1,\ldots,\alpha_P^m)} = \sum_{\beta=(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_m)\in k^m} \psi(\langle \alpha_P, \beta\rangle) X_{P,(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_m)}.$$

In this case, the map which takes $\beta \in k^m$ to $\psi(\langle \beta, \alpha_P\rangle)$ is a nontrivial character on $\mathbb{F}_q$. From the $\beta = (0, \ldots, 0)$ term we get X_P and from the other terms we get

$$Y_P \sum_{\beta\neq(0,\ldots,0)} \psi(\langle \alpha_P, \beta\rangle) = -Y_P,$$

by the above lemma. Therefore, $Y_{P,(\alpha_P^1,\ldots,\alpha_P^m)} = X_P - Y_P$.

Collecting terms completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 5. For $0 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_m < q$ with $(i_1, \ldots, i_m) \neq (0, \ldots, 0)$ set $X_{(z_{i_1},\ldots,z_{i_m})}$ equal to Y, and set $X_{(z_0,\ldots,z_0)} = X$. We note that

$$Y_{(z_0,\ldots,z_0)} = \sum_{(z_{i_1},\ldots,z_{i_m})\in k^m} X_{(z_{i_1},\ldots,z_{i_m})} = X + (q^m - 1)Y.$$

Consider $0 \leq \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m < q$ with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) \neq 0$. By the lemma, we have

$$Y_{(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m)} = X + \sum_{\beta=(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_m)\neq(0,\ldots,0)} \psi(\langle \alpha, \beta\rangle) Y = X - Y.$$

We note that $a_{(0,\ldots,0)}(c^1, \ldots, c^m)$ just counts the number of columns of the $m \times N$ matrix with rows $c^1, \ldots, c^m$ that are equal to $(0, \ldots, 0)^\intercal$. Collecting terms completes the proof.

□

5. Support Weight Enumerators and Applications

Several authors have also considered the case where we take m-tuples of codewords from a single linear code C and split them up by the dimension of the subcode that they generate. This leads to the definition of generalized Hamming weights, which have been studied extensively [6, 14].

Definition 1. *Let C be a linear code of dimension k. For each $1 \leq r \leq k$ we define the r-th generalized Hamming weight of C, denoted $d_r(C)$, to be the minimum size of the support of an r-tuple of codewords of C that generate an r-dimensional subcode of C.*

We can now give a definition of r-th generalized Hamming weights for an r-tuple of not necessarily equal codes $C_1, \dots, C_r$.

Definition 2. *Let $C_1, \dots, C_r$ be not necessarily equal linear codes of the same length N. Suppose there exists an r-tuple of codewords $c^1, \dots, c^r$ where $c^i \in C_i$ which span an r-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^N$. Then we define the r-th generalized Hamming weight for $C_1, \dots, C_r$ to be the minimum size of the support of such an r-dimensional subspace.*

We note that $d_r(C_1, \dots, C_r)$ does not depend on the order of the codes, only on the underlying multiset of codes. We also note that codewords $c^1, \dots, c^r$ where $c^i \in C_i$ can generate an r-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^N$ which is not a subspace of any particular C_i. In the case where for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, $C_i = C_1$ and C_1 has dimension k, we see that for $r \leq k$ this is exactly $d_r(C_1)$.

First, we recall the following result of Wei [14].

Proposition 8 (Wei)**.** *Suppose that C is a code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of length N and dimension k. Then for $1 \leq r \leq k-1$ we have $d_r(C) < d_{r+1}(C)$.*

A simple example shows that the analog of this result does not hold when the codes $C_1, \dots, C_r$ are not equal. For example, let C_1 be the binary repetition code of length N and C_2 be $\mathbb{F}_2^N$. We see that $d_2(C_1, C_2) = N$, since any two-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_2^N$ spanned by $c^1 \in C_1$ and $c^2 \in C_2$ must have c^1 nonzero and therefore equal to $(1, \dots, 1)$. So, this subspace has weight N. We also note that $d_r(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_2) = N$ for any $2 \leq r \leq N$ where C_2 is repeated $r-1$ times, since the nonzero vector $c^1 \in C_1$ must be $(1, \dots, 1)$.

There is an analog of the m-tuple weight enumerator which keeps track of the effective length of collections of codewords of C that generate an r-dimensional subcode. The main fact which allows one to adapt the MacWilliams theorem for m-tuple support enumerators to give information about only m-tuples of codewords of C that span a subspace of dimension r is the following classical result.

Proposition 9. *Let D be an r-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^N$. The number of ordered m-tuples of vectors $(d^1, \dots, d^m) \in D^m$ that span D is independent of D. It is equal to $[m]_r := \prod_{i=0}^{r-1}(q^m - q^i)$.*

Let C be a linear code of length N and dimension k over $\mathbb{F}_q$. It is now an elementary observation that

$$W_C^{[m]}(X, Y) = \sum_{r=0}^{k} [m]_r W_C^{(r)}(X, Y).$$

Applying the MacWilliams theorem to this weight enumerator gives the following result originally due to Kløve [7].

Proposition 10 (Kløve)**.** *Let C be a linear code of length N and dimension k over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then for any $m \geq 1$,*

$$\sum_{r=0}^{N-k} [m]_r W_{C^\perp}^{(r)}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{q^{km}} \sum_{r=0}^{k} [m]_r W_C^{(r)}(X + (q^m - 1)Y, X - Y).$$

Adapting this result for m-tuples of words from different codes is not so straightforward. Suppose we have linear codes $C_1, \ldots, C_m$ which are not necessarily the same and want to consider only m-tuples of codewords $(c^1, \ldots, c^m)$ with $c^i \in C_i$ that span a particular r-dimensional subspace D of $\mathbb{F}_q^N$. It is no longer the case that the number of m-tuples spanning D depends only on r. For example, if we choose a one-dimensional space D, the number of m-tuples spanning D depends on the number of C_i that contain D. In general, for a particular space, in order to know the number of m-tuples of codewords which span it, we must know the dimension of the intersection of this space with each of the codes C_i.

We next consider one of the simplest examples with unequal codes. We will see that the analog of Proposition 10 is much more complicated. Let C_1 and C_2 be distinct linear codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the same length N. Suppose that C_1 has dimension k, C_2 has dimension l, and $D := C_1 \cap C_2$ has dimension s. For each subspace of the code generated by C_1 and C_2 which is spanned by some pair (c^1, c^2) with $c^1 \in C_1$ and $c^2 \in C_2$, we can ask for the number of such pairs of codewords which span this subspace. We see that only the pair $((0, \ldots, 0), (0, \ldots, 0))$ spans the zero-dimensional subspace consisting only of the zero codeword.

We first consider one-dimensional spaces. Suppose we have a one-dimensional subspace of D. By Proposition 9, this is generated by $[2]_1 = q^2 - 1$ pairs. A one-dimensional subspace of C_1 that does not lie in D must have a zero-dimensional intersection with D, so can only be generated by a pair of the form $(c^1, 0)$ where c^1 lies in the subspace. Given a subspace there are $q - 1$ nonzero vectors in it. A similar statement holds for one-dimensional subspaces of C_2 that do not lie in D. Adding these up gives

$$(q-1)W_{C_1}^{(1)}(X,Y) + (q-1)W_{C_2}^{(1)} + (q-1)^2 W_D^{(1)}(X,Y),$$

since we have taken $2(q-1)$ of the pairs of vectors generating subspaces in D and $q^2 - 1 - 2(q-1) = (q-1)^2$.

We next consider two-dimensional subspaces of the code generated by C_1 and C_2.

Proposition 11. *Let C_1 and C_2 be linear codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of length N and dimensions k and l, respectively. Let $D := C_1 \cap C_2$ have dimension s. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} W_{C_1,C_2}^{[2]}(X,Y) &= X^N + (q-1)(W_{C_1}^{(1)}(X,Y) + W_{C_2}^{(1)}(X,Y)) + (q-1)^2 W_D^{(1)}(X,Y) \\ &+ (q^2-1)(q^2-q)W_D^{(2)}(X,Y) \\ &+ q(q-1)^2(W_{C_1\setminus D}^{(2)}(X,Y) + W_{C_2\setminus D}^{(2)}(X,Y)) \\ &+ (q-1)^2 W_{\langle C_1,C_2\rangle \setminus \{C_1 \cup C_2\}}^{(2)}(X,Y), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$W^{(2)}_{C_i \setminus D}(X,Y) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} A_i^{(2)} X^{N-i} Y^i,$$

and $A_i^{(2)}$ *denotes the number of two-dimensional subcodes of* C_i *which have a one-dimensional intersection with* D *and have weight* i*, and*

$$W^{(2)}_{\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle \setminus \{C_1 \cup C_2\}}(X,Y) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} B_i^{(2)} X^{N-i} Y^i,$$

where $B_i^{(2)}$ *denotes the number of two-dimensional subcodes of the code spanned by* C_1 *and* C_2 *but which are not subcodes of either* C_1 *or* C_2 *that have weight* i*.*

Proof. A two-dimensional subspace of D is generated by $[2]_2 = (q^2-1)(q^2-q)$ pairs of vectors. There are exactly $((q^s-1)(q^s-q))/((q^2-1)(q^2-q))$ such subspaces. We next consider two-dimensional subspaces of C_1 which are not contained in D. If such a space can be generated by a pair (c^1, c^2) then $c^2 \in D$. Given such a space, if we first choose c^2 there are q^2-q choices for c^1, since the space contains q^2 total vectors. There are $(q^s-1)/(q-1)$ one-dimensional subspaces of D and $((q^s-1)(q^s-q))/((q^2-1)(q^2-q))$ two-dimensional subspaces. There are $((q^k-1)(q^k-q))/((q^2-1)(q^2-q))$ two-dimensional subspaces of C_1 each containing $(q^2-1)/(q-1) = q+1$ one-dimensional subspaces. Therefore, there are

$$\frac{(q^k-1)(q^k-q)}{(q^2-1)(q^2-q)} \frac{(q+1)(q-1)}{q^k-1} = \frac{q^{k-1}-1}{q-1}$$

two-dimensional subspaces of C_1 containing a given one-dimensional subspace of D. We see that $(q^{s-1}-1)/(q-1)$ of these are actually two-dimensional subspaces of D. Therefore, we have

$$\frac{q^{k-1}-1-(q^{s-1}-1)}{q-1} \frac{q^s-1}{q-1} = \frac{(q^k-q^s)(q^s-1)}{q(q-1)^2}$$

two-dimensional subspaces of $C_1 \setminus D$ which can be generated by a pair $(c^1, c^2),\ c^1 \in C_1,\ c^2 \in C_2$. For each such space there are $(q^2-q)(q-1)$ pairs generating it, giving a total of $(q^k-q)(q^s-1)$ pairs generating such subspaces. This is the same as the total number of pairs $c^1 \in C_1 \setminus D,\ c^2 \in D$, which gives a useful verification of this count. We similarly count $(q^l-q^s)(q^s-1)$ pairs of vectors which generate a two-dimensional subspace of $C_2 \setminus D$.

Using similar techniques we see that there are $(q^k-q^s)(q^l-q^s)/(q-1)^2$ subspaces of the code generated by C_1 and C_2 which have trivial intersection with D, and that each of these is generated by $(q-1)^2$ pairs (c^1, c^2) with $c^i \in C_i$. We omit the details. □

We can now apply Theorem 5 to this expression and see that this is equal to $\left(|C_1^\perp||C_2^\perp|\right)^{-1}$ times the right hand side where each C_i is replaced with $C_i^\perp,\ D$ is replaced with $C_1^\perp \cap C_2^\perp$ and (X,Y) is replaced with $(X+(q^2-1)Y, X-Y)$.

We give an example in order to make this more concrete. We give binary codes of length 6, C_1 and C_2 in terms of generator matrices,

$$C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We see that $D = C_1 \cap C_2$ is the one-dimensional subspace generated by $(1,1,1,1,1,1)$, and that

$$C_1^\perp = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_2^\perp = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which shows that C_1 is not self-dual, but is permutation equivalent to its dual.

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} W_{C_1}^{(1)}(X,Y) &= 3X^4Y^2 + 3X^2Y^4 + Y^6,\ W_{C_2}^{(1)}(X,Y) = 2X^3Y^3 + Y^6, \\ W_D^{(1)}(X,Y) &= Y^6,\ W_D^{(2)}(X,Y) = 0,\ W_{C_1 \setminus D}^{(2)}(X,Y) = 3Y^6, \\ W_{C_2 \setminus D}^{(2)}(X,Y) &= Y^6,\ W_{\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle \setminus \{C_1 \cup C_2\}}^{(2)}(X,Y) = 3(X^3Y^3 + X^2Y^4 + XY^5 + Y^6). \end{aligned}$$

The above proposition now gives

$$W_{C_1,C_2}^{[2]}(X,Y) = X^6 + 3X^4Y^2 + 5X^3Y^3 + 6X^2Y^4 + 3XY^5 + 14Y^6.$$

Applying Theorem 5 now gives

$$W_{C_1^\perp,C_2^\perp}^{[2]}(X,Y) = X^6 + 12X^4Y^2 + 6X^3Y^3 + 39X^2Y^4 + 42XY^5 + 28Y^6.$$

We can also see this by noting that $E := C_1^\perp \cap C_2^\perp = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and performing an analysis similar to the one above. We can compute each of the polynomials in the statement of the theorem, add them up with the proper constants and get $W_{C_1^\perp,C_2^\perp}^{[2]}(X,Y)$.

We state a corollary of Theorem 5 separately.

Corollary 12. *Let $m \geq 1$ and C be a linear code of length N over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then*

$$W_{C,\ldots,C,C^\perp,\ldots,C^\perp}^{[2m]}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{q^{Nm}} W_{C,\ldots,C,C^\perp,\ldots,C^\perp}(X + (q^m - 1)Y, X - Y),$$

where C and $C^\perp$ are each repeated m times.

A self-dual code C must have its m-tuple weight enumerators invariant under certain transformations. This is the main idea behind Gleason's theorem giving necessary conditions for the weight enumerators of self-dual codes [6, 10]. This corollary gives us a way to produce polynomials which are invariant under the m-tuple analog of the MacWilliams transformation, but are not necessarily the m-tuple weight enumerators of self-dual codes, in fact, are not necessarily the m-tuple weight enumerators of any single code C.

Let C_3 be the binary code with generator matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then,

$$W_{C_3,C_3^\perp}^{[2]}(X,Y) = X^6 + 5X^4Y^2 + 8X^3Y^3 + 11X^2Y^4 + 24XY^5 + 15Y^6 = \frac{1}{2^6} W_{C_3,C_3^\perp}^{[2]}(X+3Y, X-Y),$$

but this cannot be the 2-tuple weight enumerator of any code. This is because for a binary code C,

$$W_C^{[2]}(X,Y) = \sum_{r=0}^{2} [2]_r W_C^{(r)}(X,Y),$$

so for each $1 \leq i \leq N$ the X^iY^{N-i} coefficient must be divisible by 3, but the X^4Y^2 term has coefficient 5.

Let C_4 have generator matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. This code has

$$W^{[2]}_{C_4, C_4^{\perp}}(X,Y) = X^6 + 9X^4Y^2 + 27X^4Y^2 + 9Y^6,$$

which is the 2-tuple weight enumerator of the self dual code C_5 with generator matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can also ask, given a polynomial that arises as $W^{[m]}_C(X,Y)$ for some C, whether we can characterize the m-tuples of codes $C_1, \ldots, C_m$ which give the same m-tuple weight enumerator.

We can ask questions of the following type. Given m and q, which homogeneous polynomials $W(X,Y)$ of degree N are invariant under the transformation sending it to $q^{\frac{-Nm}{2}} W(X + (q^m - 1)Y, X - Y)$? This is asking for a kind of analog of Gleason's theorem for these m-tuple weight enumerators. For more information on this subject see the work of Nebe, Rains and Sloane [10]. We know that there are polynomials invariant under this transformation which cannot be the m-tuple weight enumerator of any code, for example polynomials with multiple coefficients not divisible by $q^2 - 1$. What further necessary conditions can we find for such an invariant polynomial to occur as the m-tuple weight enumerator of a code? We would like to be able to use results of this type to aid in the classification of self-dual codes, and in more general classification problems.

We note that C_5 has the same weight enumerator as C_1, but that these two codes have different 2-tuple weight enumerators. This implies that the m-tuple weight enumerator of C does not determine the $(m+1)$-tuple weight enumerator. It is less clear whether it is possible for two codes to have the same $(m+1)$-tuple weight enumerators and different m-tuple weight enumerators. Extensive computer search produced the following example (and many others). Let D_1 be the binary code of length 12 and dimension 6 with generator matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and let D_2 be the binary code of length 12 and dimension 6 with generator matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We compute that D_1 has Hamming weight enumerator

$$X^{12}+X^{10}Y^2+3X^9Y^3+6X^8Y^4+15X^7Y^5+14X^6Y^6+9X^5Y^7+9X^4Y^8+5X^3Y^9+X^2Y^{10},$$

and

$$W_{D_1}^{[2]}(X,Y) = X^{12} + 3X^{10}Y^2 + 9X^9Y^3 + 24X^8Y^4 + 75X^7Y^5 + 162X^6Y^6 \\ +399X^5Y^7 + 771X^4Y^8 + 957X^3Y^9 + 975X^2Y^{10} + 576XY^{11} + 144Y^{12}.$$

We compute that D_2 has Hamming weight enumerator

$$X^{12}+X^{10}Y^2+3X^9Y^3+8X^8Y^4+11X^7Y^5+12X^6Y^6+17X^5Y^7+7X^4Y^8+X^3Y^9+3X^2Y^{10},$$

and the same 2-tuple weight enumerator as D_1. Therefore, we see that $(m+1)$-tuple weight enumerators do not determine m-tuple weight enumerators. This is related to recent work of Britz [3], in which he shows that for a k-dimensional linear code C the collection of m-tuple weight enumerators for $1 \leq m \leq k$ is equivalent to the Tutte polynomial of the matroid associated to C.

6. The Repetition Code and the Parity Check Code

We end this paper with one more type of example. Let R be the q-ary repetition code of length N which is the one-dimensional code generated by $(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$. Then $R^\perp$ is the parity check code, which consists of all vectors of $\mathbb{F}_q^N$, $(c_1, \ldots, c_N)$ with $c_1 + \cdots + c_N = 0$ in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $C_1, \ldots, C_m$ be linear codes of length N over $\mathbb{F}_q$. It is easy to see how to determine higher weight enumerators involving R, and less obvious how to determine weight enumerators involving $R^\perp$. Theorem 5 gives one way to solve this problem.

For any $m \geq 1$,

$$W_{C_1,\ldots,C_m,R}^{[m+1]}(X,Y) = W_{C_1,\ldots,C_m}^{[m]}(X,Y) + (q-1)\prod_{i=1}^{m}|C_i|Y^N,$$

since we can either choose the all zero codeword from R, giving the first term, or one of the $q-1$ words of weight N, giving the second term. Similarly, we see that for any $m \geq 1$,

$$W_{C_1,\ldots,C_m,R,\ldots,R}^{[m+s]}(X,Y) = W_{C_1,\ldots,C_m}^{[m]}(X,Y) + (q^s-1)\prod_{i=1}^{m}|C_i|Y^N,$$

where R is repeated s times. More generally, the same result holds if R is any one-dimensional code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ generated by a vector with all nonzero coordinates. This will be our assumption on R from now on.

Proposition 13. *Let $C_1, \ldots, C_m$ be linear codes of length N over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let R be a one-dimensional code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of length N generated by $(c_1, \ldots, c_N)$, where each c_i is nonzero. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & W_{C_1,\ldots,C_m,R,\ldots,R,R^\perp,\ldots,R^\perp}^{[m+s+t]}(X,Y) \\ = \;& (q^s-1)q^{(N-1)t}\prod_{i=1}^{m}|C_i|Y^N + \frac{(q^t-1)}{q^t}(X-Y)^N \\ + \;& \frac{1}{q^t}W_{C_1,\ldots,C_m}^{[m]}(X+(q^t-1)Y, q^tY), \end{aligned}$$

where R is repeated s times and $R^\perp$ is repeated t times.

Proof. We consider $W^{[m+s+t]}_{C_1,\ldots,C_m,R,\ldots,R,R^\perp,\ldots,R^\perp}(X,Y)$, where R is repeated s times and $R^\perp$ is repeated t times. From the previous paragraph we have

$$W^{[m+s+t]}_{C_1,\ldots,C_m,R,\ldots,R,R^\perp,\ldots,R^\perp}(X,Y) = W^{[m+t]}_{C_1,\ldots,C_m,R^\perp,\ldots,R^\perp}(X,Y)+(q^s-1)q^{(N-1)t}\prod_{i=1}^{m}|C_i|Y^N,$$

since $|R^\perp| = q^{(N-1)}$. Now we apply Theorem 5 and see that

$$\begin{aligned} & W^{[m+t]}_{C_1,\ldots,C_m,R^\perp,\ldots,R^\perp}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{q^t\prod_{i=1}^{m}|C_i^\perp|}W^{[m+t]}_{C_1^\perp,\ldots,C_m^\perp,R,\ldots,R}(X+(q^{m+t}-1)Y,X-Y) \\ = & \frac{1}{q^t\prod_{i=1}^{m}|C_i^\perp|}\left(W^{[m]}_{C_1^\perp,\ldots,C_m^\perp}(X+(q^{m+t}-1)Y,X-Y)+(q^t-1)\prod_{i=1}^{m}|C_i|^\perp(X-Y)^N\right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 5 one more time gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{W^{[m]}_{C_1^\perp,\ldots,C_m^\perp}(X+(q^{m+t}-1)Y,X-Y)}{q^t\prod_{i=1}^{m}|C_i^\perp|} \\ = & \frac{W^{[m]}_{C_1,\ldots,C_m}(X+(q^{m+t}-1)Y+(q^m-1)(X-Y),X+(q^{m+t}-1)Y-(X-Y))}{q^t\prod_{i=1}^{m}|C_i||C_i|^\perp} \\ = & \frac{1}{q^tq^{Nm}}W^{[m]}_{C_1,\ldots,C_m}(q^m(X+(q^t-1)Y),q^m(q^tY)) \\ = & \frac{1}{q^t}W^{[m]}_{C_1,\ldots,C_m}(X+(q^t-1)Y,q^tY). \end{aligned}$$

□

In certain cases it is not difficult to work out this proposition directly without use of the MacWilliams theorem and its generalizations. For example when $m = 1,\ q = 2,\ s = 0$, and $t = 1$. In this case $R^\perp$ is the even weight subcode of $\mathbb{F}_2^N$ and we get

$$W^{[2]}_{C_1,R^\perp}(X,Y) = \frac{(X-Y)^N}{2}+\frac{W_{C_1}(X+Y,2Y)}{2} = W_{R^\perp}(X,Y)+\frac{W^{(1)}_{C_1}(X+Y,2Y)}{2},$$

since $W_{R^\perp}(X,Y) = \frac{(X-Y)^N+(X+Y)^N}{2}$.

Proposition 13 gives a unified way to compute some of these more complicated higher weight enumerators. Hopefully results of this type can be used to give further conditions on the existence of codes with certain weight enumerators or parameters.

7. Acknowledgments

I thank Professor Noam Elkies for introducing me to this area and for many helpful discussions and Thomas Britz, Alexander Barg, and Henry Cohn for useful comments. I also thank the National Science Foundation for supporting me with a Graduate Research Fellowship throughout this work.

References

[1] A. Barg, The matroid of supports of a linear code. Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. 8 (1997), no. 2, 165-172.

[2] T. Britz, MacWilliams identities and matroid polynomials. Electron. J. Combin. 9 (2002), no. 1, Research Paper 19, 16 pp. (electronic).

[3] T. Britz, Code enumerators and Tutte polynomials. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 56 (2010), no. 9, 4350-4358.
[4] S. Dougherty and S. Han, Higher weights and generalized MDS codes. J. Korean Math. Soc. 47 (2010), no. 6, 1167-1182.
[5] N. Elkies, Linear codes and algebraic geometry in higher dimensions. Preprint, 2006.
[6] W. C. Huffman and V. Pless, *Fundamentals of error-correcting codes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
[7] T. Kløve, Support weight distribution of linear codes. Discrete Math. 106/107 (1992), 311-316.
[8] F. J. MacWilliams, A theorem on the distribution of weights in a systematic code. Bell System Tech. J. 42 (1963), 79-94.
[9] F. J. MacWilliams and N. Sloane, *The theory of error-correcting codes*, North-Holland Publishing Company, New York, 1977.
[10] G. Nebe, E. Rains, and N. Sloane, *Self dual codes and invariant theory*, Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics, 17. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
[11] K. Shiromoto, A new MacWilliams type identity for linear codes. Hokkaido Math. J. 25 (1996), no. 3, 651-656.
[12] J. Simonis, The effective length of subcodes, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. 5 (1994), no. 6, 371-377.
[13] A. Terras, *Fourier analysis on finite groups and applications*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1999.
[14] V. K. Wei, Generalized Hamming weights for linear codes, IEEE Trans. Infom. Theory 37 (1991), no. 5, 1412-1418.

Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138
E-mail address: nkaplan@math.harvard.edu